

Per telecon w/Dick Irvine, Dept. of Justice

1 NOV 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT : U.S. v IBM

REFERENCE: Cravath ltr to Esherick (Justice) dtd Sept 4, 1974

Pursuant to my request, Irvine is to submit for our review copies of documents #8, 13, 23, and 24. According to him, these documents are our Agency's and are classified. He will also provide us with a copy of document #11. He advises that this is classified SECRET, but he is not entirely sure that it is an Agency document. Irvine is withdrawing his request for our review of documents #10 and 14. He believes that document #10 may be a Commerce document, and he notes that document #14 is classified Official Use Only. I told him that this was not a security classification.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

Address Reply to the  
Division Indicated  
and Refer to Initials and Number

TEK:LB:RLI  
60-235-38

STATINTL

November 1, 1974

Office of General Counsel  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Washington, D.C. 20505

STATINTL

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

Enclosed are the copies you requested by telephone on November 1, 1974, of documents listed in Mr. Ronald Rolfe's letter of September 4, 1974, which was enclosed in our letter to you dated October 21, 1974.

The enclosed documents are identified by the following numbers in Mr. Rolfe's letter: 8, ~~11~~, 13, ~~14~~, 23, 24.

Sincerely yours,

THOMAS E. KAUPER  
Assistant Attorney General  
Antitrust Division

*Richard L. Irvine*

By: Richard L. Irvine  
Attorney, Department of Justice

*docs 11 914  
ARPA studies  
ARPA by  
RWD for  
ARPA & CIEP  
Enclosure  
SAR W/A of 5 Nov 74*

DOJ review(s) completed.



**MEMORANDUM  
OF CALL**

TO:

☐ YOU WERE CALLED BY— ☐ YOU WERE VISITED BY—

OF (Organization)

☐ PLEASE CALL → PHONE NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
CODE/EXT. \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ WILL CALL AGAIN ☐ IS WAITING TO SEE YOU  
☐ RETURNED YOUR CALL ☐ WISHES AN APPOINTMENT

**MESSAGE**

Mr. Dick Irvine from the Department of Justice called to say that item #10 on that list of documents you are trying to determine if they are CIA or not is NOT CIA's; he has already found that out. He will have someone bring the other documents over on Monday to this building.

RECEIVED BY	DATE	TIME

**STANDARD FORM 63**  
REVISED AUGUST 1967  
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6

☆ U. S. GPO: 1972 - 474-926

63-108



STATINTL



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

Address Reply to the  
Division Indicated  
and Refer to Initials and Number

TEK:LB:RLI  
60-235-38

October 21, 1974


STATINTL



Office of General Counsel  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Washington, D. C. 20505

Re: U. S. v. IBM

STATINTL

Dear Mr. 

Enclosed is the letter we discussed by telephone,  
on Monday, October 21, 1974.

The documents listed in the letter were among  
those hand delivered to cleared personnel of Cravath,  
Swaine & Moore, by Karl Bakke of the Department of Commerce  
on August 1, 1974.

Sincerely yours,

THOMAS E. KAUPER  
Assistant Attorney General  
Antitrust Division

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Richard L. Irvine".

By: Richard L. Irvine  
Attorney, Department of Justice